COLORADO DISCHARGE PERMIT SYSTEM (CDPS) FACT SHEET FOR PERMIT NUMBER CO0026468 LIGHTNER CREEK CAMPGROUND LLC WWTF LA PLATA COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. TYPE OF PERMIT	. 1
II. FACILITY INFORMATION	. 1
III. RECEIVING STREAM	2
IV. FACILITY DESCRIPTION	2
V. PERFORMANCE HISTORY	3
VI. DISCUSSION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	. 4
VII. ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	. 8
VIII. REFERENCES	. 9
IX. PUBLIC NOTICE COMMENTS	11

I. TYPE OF PERMIT

A. Permit Type: Domestic - Minor Non-Municipal, Mechanical Plant, Eighth Renewal

B. Discharge To: Surface Water

II. FACILITY INFORMATION

A. SIC Code: 4952 Sewerage Systems

B. Facility Classification: Class C per Section 100.5.2 of the Water and Wastewater Facility

Operator Certification Requirements

C. Facility Location: Latitude: 37.293333 °, Longitude: -107.946944°

D. Permitted Feature: 001A, following disinfection and prior to mixing with the receiving

stream. Latitude: 37.293333 °, Longitude: -107.946944°

The location(s) provided above will serve as the point(s) of compliance for this permit and are appropriate as they are located after all treatment and

prior to discharge to the receiving water.

E. Facility Flows: 0.01 MGD

F. Major Changes From Last Renewal:

This permit renewal includes a total inorganic nitrogen (T.I.N.) limit to protect a downstream community drinking water supply. It also contains revised ammonia limits from the previous two renewals; however, they are the limits included in the 1986 and 1997 permits.

III. RECEIVING STREAM

A. Waterbody Identification: COSJAF14b, Lightner Creek

B. Water Quality Assessment:

An assessment of the stream standards, low flow data, and ambient stream data has been performed to determine the assimilative capacities for *Lightner Creek* for potential pollutants of concern. This information, which is contained in the Water Quality Assessment (WQA) for this receiving stream(s), also includes an antidegradation review, where appropriate. The Division's Permits Section has reviewed the assimilative capacities to determine the appropriate water quality-based effluent limitations as well as potential limits based on the antidegradation evaluation, where applicable. The limitations based on the assessment and other evaluations conducted as part of this fact sheet can be found in Part I.A of the permit.

Permitted Feature 001A will be the authorized discharge point to the receiving stream.

IV. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

A. Infiltration/Inflow (I/I)

No infiltration/inflow problems have been documented in the service area.

B. Lift Stations

There are no lift stations in the service area.

C. Chemical Usage

The permittee did not specify any chemicals for use in waters that may be discharged. On this basis, no chemicals are approved under this permit. Prior to use of any applicable chemical, the permittee must submit a request for approval that includes the most current Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for that chemical. Until approved, use of any chemical in waters that may be discharged could result in a discharge of pollutants not authorized under the permit. Also see Part II.A.1. of the permit.

Chemicals deemed acceptable for use in waters that will or may be discharged to waters of the State are acceptable only when used in accordance with all state and federal regulations, and in strict accordance with the manufacturer's site-specific instructions.

D. Treatment Facility, Facility Modifications and Capacities

The facility consists of a flow equitation basin, aeration basin, hopper bottom clarifier, and UV disinfection. The permittee has not performed any construction at this facility that would change the hydraulic capacity of 0.01 MGD or the organic capacity of 45 lbs BOD₅/day, which were specified in Site Approval 3958. That document should be referred to for any additional information.

Pursuant to Section 100.5.2 of the <u>Water and Wastewater Facility Operator Certification Requirements</u>, this facility will require a Class C certified operator.

E. Biosolids Treatment and Disposal

Biosolids are hauled offsite on an as-needed basis.

1. EPA General Permit

EPA Region 8 issued a General Permit (effective October 19, 2007) for Colorado facilities whose operations generate, treat, and/or use/dispose of sewage sludge by means of land application, landfill, and surface disposal under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. All Colorado facilities are required to apply for and to obtain coverage under the EPA General Permit.

2. Biosolids Regulation (Regulation No. 64, Colorado Water Quality Control Commission)

While the EPA is now the issuing agency for biosolids permits, Colorado facilities that land apply biosolids must comply with requirements of Regulation No. 64, such as the submission of annual reports as discussed later in this rationale.

V. PERFORMANCE HISTORY

A. Monitoring Data

<u>Discharge Monitoring Reports</u> – The following tables summarize the effluent data reported on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) for the previous permit term, from July 1, 2009 through April 30, 2013.

Table V-1 – Summary of DMR Data for Permitted Feature 001A

Parameter	# Samples or Reporting Periods	Reported Average Concentrations Avg/Min/Max	Reported Maximum Concentrations Avg/Min/Max	Previous Avg/Max/AD Permit Limit	Number of Limit Excursions
Influent Flow (MGD)	14	0.0075/0.00015/0.06	0.0092/0.0005/0.07	Report/Report	
Effluent Flow (MGD)	11	0.0096/0.0035/0.06	0.011/0.0048/0.07	0.01/Report	1
pH (su)	11	7.4/7.2/7.4	7.6/7.5/7.7	6.5 - 9	
E. coli (#/100 ml)	11	5.5/1/1986	5.5/1/1986	2000/4000/Report	
TRC (mg/l)	10	0.01/<0.1/0.1	0.0091/<0.1/0.1	Report/0.5/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jan	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	10.4/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Feb	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	10.4/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Mar	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	10.4/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Apr	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	10.4/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) May	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	18/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jun	3	1.8/0.05/2.7	1.8/0.05/2.7	18/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jul	4	6.8/<1/17	6.8/<1/17	18/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Aug	4	6.1/0.1/22	6.1/0.1/22	8.6/Report/Report	1
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Sep	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	8.6/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Oct	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	8.6/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Nov	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	8.6/Report/Report	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Dec	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	8.6/Report/Report	
BOD5, effluent (mg/l)	11	8.3/2/20	8.3/2/20	30/45/	
BOD5 (% removal)	11	95/88/99	NA/NA/NA	85/NA/	
TSS, effluent (mg/l)	11	11/4/24	11/4/24	30/45/	
TSS (% removal)	11	94/91/99	NA/NA/NA	85/NA/	
Oil and Grease (mg/l)	11	NA/NA/NA	0/0/0	NA/10/	

^{*}Minimum reported values in the "average" column, and the maximum reported values in the "maximum column

B. Compliance With Terms and Conditions of Previous Permit

1. <u>Effluent Limitations</u>—The data shown in the preceding table(s) indicate apparent violations of the permit. The flow in July of 2009 of 0.06 MGD violated the flow limit of 0.01 MGD. The ammonia value of 21.7 mg/L in August of 2009 violated the ammonia limit of 8.6 mg/L.

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.41(a), any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.

2. Other Permit Requirements – The permittee has not met the following conditions of the permit: the compliance schedule provided to meet Ammonia and *E.coli* limits. Due dates from 2010 through 2014 were included with separate milestones to meet Ammonia and *E.coli* limits. Division records indicate none of those milestones were met. No submissions in accordance with the compliance schedule from the Lightner Creek campground were received. However, it appears that the facility was able to meet the *E.coli* limitations in the previous permit as no violations of the limit occurred.

VI. DISCUSSION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

A. Regulatory Basis for Limitations

- 1. <u>Technology Based Limitations</u>
 - a. <u>Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines</u> The Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines for domestic wastewater treatment facilities are the secondary treatment standards. These standards have been adopted into, and are applied out of, Regulation No. 62, the Regulations for Effluent Limitations.
 - b. <u>Regulation No. 62: Regulations for Effluent Limitations</u> These Regulations include effluent limitations that apply to all discharges of wastewater to State waters and are shown in Section VIII of the WQA. These regulations are applicable to the discharge from the Lightner Creek Campground LLC WWTF.
- 2. Numeric Water Quality Standards The WQA contains the evaluation of pollutants limited by water quality standards. The mass balance equation shown in Section VI of the WQA was used for most pollutants to calculate the potential water quality based effluent limitations (WQBELs), M₂, that could be discharged without causing the water quality standard to be violated. For ammonia, the AMMTOX Model was used to determine the maximum assimilative capacity of the receiving stream. A detailed discussion of the calculations for the maximum allowable concentrations for the relevant parameters of concern is provided in Section VI of the WQA developed for this permitting action.

The maximum allowable pollutant concentrations determined as part of these calculations represent the calculated effluent limits that would be protective of water quality. These are also known as the WQBELs. Both acute and chronic WQBELs may be calculated based on acute and chronic standards, and these may be applied as daily maximum (acute) or 30-day average (chronic) limits.

3. <u>Narrative Water Quality Standards</u> - Section 31.11(1)(a)(iv) of <u>The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Waters</u> (Regulation No. 31) includes the narrative standard that State

surface waters shall be free of substances that are harmful to the beneficial uses or toxic to humans, animals, plants, or aquatic life.

4. Water Quality Regulations, Policies, and Guidance Documents

a. <u>Antidegradation</u> - Since the receiving water is Undesignated, an antidegradation review is required pursuant to Section 31.8 of <u>The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water</u>. As set forth in Section VII of the WQA, an antidegradation evaluation was conducted for pollutants when water quality impacts occurred and when the impacts were significant. Based on the antidegradation requirements and the reasonable potential analysis discussed below, antidegradation-based average concentrations (ADBACs) may be applied.

According to Division procedures, the facility has three options related to antidegradation-based effluent limits: (1) the facility may accept ADBACs as permit limits (see Section VII of the WQA); (2) the facility may select permit limits based on their non-impact limit (NIL) or Existing Limit, which would result in the facility not being subject to an antidegradation review and thus the antidegradation-based average concentrations would not apply (the NILs are also contained in Section VII of the WQA); or (3) the facility may complete an alternatives analysis as set forth in Section 31.8(3)(d) of the regulations which would result in alternative antidegradation-based effluent limitations.

The effluent must not cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard and therefore the WQBEL must be selected if it is lower than the NIL or Existing Limit. Where the WQBEL is not the most restrictive, the discharger may choose between the NIL or the ADBAC: the NIL results in no increased water quality impact; the ADBAC results in an "insignificant" increase in water quality impact. The ADBAC limits are imposed as two-year average limits.

- b. <u>Antibacksliding</u> As the receiving water is designated Reviewable, and the Division has performed an antidegradation evaluation, in accordance with the Antidegradation Guidance, the antibacksliding requirements in Regulation 61.10 have been met.
- c. <u>Determination of Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)</u> This stream segment is not on the State's 303(d) list, and therefore TMDLs do not apply.
- d. Colorado Mixing Zone Regulations Pursuant to section 31.10 of <u>The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water</u>, a mixing zone determination is required for this permitting action. <u>The Colorado Mixing Zone Implementation Guidance</u>, dated April 2002, identifies the process for determining the meaningful limit on the area impacted by a discharge to surface water where standards may be exceeded (i.e., regulatory mixing zone). This guidance document provides for certain exclusions from further analysis under the regulation, based on site-specific conditions.

The guidance document provides a mandatory, stepwise decision-making process for determining if the permit limits will not be affected by this regulation. Exclusion, based on Extreme Mixing Ratios, may be granted if the ratio of the facility design flow to the chronic low flow (30E3) is greater than 2:1 or if the ratio of the chronic low flow to the design flow is greater than 20:1. Since the ratio of the chronic low flow to the design flow is 43:1, the permittee is eligible for an exclusion from further analysis under the regulation.

e. <u>Salinity Regulations</u> – In compliance with the <u>Colorado River Salinity Standards</u> and the <u>Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations</u>, the permittee shall monitor for total dissolved solids on a **Quarterly** basis. Samples shall be taken at Permitted Feature 001A.

An evaluation of the discharge of total dissolved solids indicates that the Lightner Creek Campground LLC facility does not exceed the threshold of 1 ton/day or 350 tons/year of salinity. The average concentration discharged is likely less than 500 mg/l, and therefore the facility is exempt from further requirements other than monitoring for TDS.

f. Reasonable Potential Analysis – Using the assimilative capacities contained in the WQA, an analysis must be performed to determine whether to include the calculated assimilative capacities as WQBELs in the permit. This reasonable potential (RP) analysis is based on the <u>Determination of the Requirement to Include Water Quality Standards-Based Limits in CDPS Permits Based on Reasonable Potential</u>, dated December, 2002. This guidance document utilizes both quantitative and qualitative approaches to establish RP depending on the amount of available data.

A qualitative determination of RP may be made where ancillary and/or additional treatment technologies are employed to reduce the concentrations of certain pollutants. Because it may be anticipated that the limits for a parameter could not be met without treatment, and the treatment is not coincidental to the movement of water through the facility, limits may be included to assure that treatment is maintained.

A qualitative RP determination may also be made where a federal ELG exists for a parameter, and where the results of a quantitative analysis results in no RP. As the federal ELG is typically less stringent than a limitation based on the WQBELs, if the discharge was to contain concentrations at the ELG (above the WQBEL), the discharge may cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard.

To conduct a quantitative RP analysis, a minimum of 10 effluent data points from the previous 5 years, should be used. The equations set out in the guidance for normal and lognormal distribution, where applicable, are used to calculate the maximum estimated pollutant concentration (MEPC). For data sets with non-detect values, and where at least 30% of the data set was greater than the detection level, MDLWIN software is used consistent with Division guidance to generate the mean and standard deviation, which are then used to establish the multipliers used to calculate the MEPC. If the MDLWIN program cannot be used the Division's guidance prescribes the use of best professional judgment.

For some parameters, recent effluent data or an appropriate number of data points may not be available, or collected data may be in the wrong form (dissolved vs total) and therefore may not be available for use in conducting an RP analysis. Thus, consistent with Division procedures, monitoring will be required to collect samples to support a RP analysis and subsequent decisions for a numeric limit. A compliance schedule may be added to the permit to require the request of an RP analysis once the appropriate data have been collected.

For other parameters, effluent data may be available to conduct a quantitative analysis, and therefore an RP analysis will be conducted to determine if there is RP for the effluent discharge to cause or contribute to exceedances of ambient water quality standards. The guidance specifies that if the MEPC exceeds the maximum allowable pollutant concentration (MAPC), limits must

be established and where the MEPC is greater than half the MAPC (but less than the MAPC), monitoring must be established.

B. Parameter Evaluation

 $\underline{BOD_5}$ - The BOD_5 concentrations in Regulation No. 62, Regulations for Effluent Limitations are the most stringent effluent limits and are therefore applied. These limitations are the same as those contained in the previous permit and are imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

<u>Total Suspended Solids</u> - The TSS concentrations in Regulation No. 62 are the most stringent effluent limits and are therefore applied. These limitations are the same as those contained in the previous permit and are imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

Oil and Grease – The oil and grease limitations from the Regulation No. 62 are applied as they are the most stringent limitations. This limitation is the same as those contained in the previous permit and is imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

 \underline{pH} - This parameter is limited by the water quality standards of 6.5-9.0 s.u., as this range is more stringent than other applicable standards. This limitation is the same as that contained in the previous permit and is imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

<u>E. coli</u>—The calculated acute <u>E. coli</u> WQBEL in the WQA is greater than that allowed by the Division procedure for <u>E. coli</u>, which specifies a maximum of 2,000 organisms per 100 ml (30-day geometric mean) and 4,000 organisms per 100 ml (7-day geometric mean). The chronic limitation for <u>E. coli</u> is based upon the Existing Limit as described in the WQA. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as the treatment facility has been designed to treat specifically for this parameter.

Previous monitoring as summarized in Table V-1 indicate that there was one DMR value above this new limitation; however with UV treatment and the potential to utilize chlorine disinfectant, consistent compliance with this limitation is anticipated. The new limitation is imposed upon the effective date of the permit.

<u>Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)</u> – The chronic limitation for TRC is based upon the Existing Limit as described in the WQA. The acute limitation for TRC is based on the WQBEL. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as chlorine may be used as a backup to the ultraviolet treatment process. Previous monitoring as shown in Table V-1 indicate that the limitations of 0.14 mg/l and 0.31 mg/l can be met and are therefore imposed upon the effective date of the permit

<u>Total Inorganic Nitrogen</u> - The calculated WQBEL for T.I.N. as set out in the WQA is imposed to protect downstream water supplies. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as the facility is expected to have ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite in the discharge.

Previous monitoring as shown in Table V-1 indicate that this limitation can be met and is therefore imposed upon the effective date of the permit.

<u>Ammonia</u> - The chronic limitations for ammonia are based upon the Existing Limit as described in the WQA. The acute ammonia limits are based upon the WQBELs. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as the treatment facility has been designed to treat specifically for this parameter.

The facility had limits for total ammonia set at 5 mg/L from 1991 to 2002. Division records indicate they were no violations of that limit during that time. The limit should have been retained due to antidegradation provisions in both the 2002 and 2009 permit renewals. The most recent permit renewal in 2009 included a generous compliance schedule to provide time for the facility to study if it could meet 'more stringent' final (2014) ammonia limits than those issued in 2009. The Lightner Creek Campground WWTF permitee failed to deliver on any of the milestones of the compliance schedule according to Division records. Since the facility was able to historically meet the ammonia limits of 5 mg/l, there have been no process or design flow changes at the mechanical facility, and the permittee failed to respond to milestones laid out in the current compliance schedule regarding its ability to meet ammonia limits, the Division will implement the Existing Limits of 5 mg/l in this permit renewal.

<u>Temperature</u>- Based on the information presented in the WQA, this facility is exempt from the temperature requirements based on flow ratios.

VII. ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

A. Monitoring

Effluent Monitoring – Effluent monitoring will be required as shown in the permit document. Refer to the permit for locations of monitoring points. Monitoring requirements have been established in accordance with the frequencies and sample types set forth in the Baseline Monitoring Frequency. Sample Type, and Reduced Monitoring Frequency Policy for Industrial and Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities. This policy includes the methods for reduced monitoring frequencies based upon facility compliance as well as for considerations given in exchange for instream monitoring programs initiated by the permittee. Based upon the reduced monitoring frequency analysis for Permitted Feature 001A, Limit Set, the permittee is not eligible for reduced monitoring for any parameters due to the seasonal nature of the discharge, and due to a failure to comply with the permit compliance schedule requirements. Adequate data sets do not exist since the facility only discharges during the summer months.

B. Reporting

- 1. <u>Discharge Monitoring Report</u> The Lightner Creek Campground LLC facility must submit Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) on a monthly basis to the Division. These reports should contain the required summarization of the test results for all parameters and monitoring frequencies shown in Part I.A.2 of the permit. See the permit, Part I.D for details on such submission.
- <u>2. Special Reports</u> Special reports are required in the event of an upset, bypass, or other noncompliance. Please refer to Part II.A. of the permit for reporting requirements. As above, submittal of these reports to the US Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII is no longer required.

C. Signatory and Certification Requirements

Signatory and certification requirements for reports and submittals are discussed in Part I.D.8. of the permit.

D. Economic Reasonableness Evaluation

Section 25-8-503(8) of the revised (June 1985) <u>Colorado Water Quality Control Act</u> required the Division to "determine whether or not any or all of the water quality standard based effluent limitations are reasonably related to the economic, environmental, public health and energy impacts to the public and affected persons, and are in furtherance of the policies set forth in sections 25-8-192 and 25-8-104."

The <u>Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations</u>, Regulation No. 61, further define this requirement under 61.11 and state: "Where economic, environmental, public health and energy impacts to the public and affected persons have been considered in the classifications and standards setting process, permits written to meet the standards may be presumed to have taken into consideration economic factors unless:

- a. A new permit is issued where the discharge was not in existence at the time of the classification and standards rulemaking, or
- b. In the case of a continuing discharge, additional information or factors have emerged that were not anticipated or considered at the time of the classification and standards rulemaking."

The evaluation for this permit shows that the Water Quality Control Commission, during their proceedings to adopt the <u>Classifications and Numeric Standards for San Juan River and Dolores River</u> Basins, considered economic reasonableness.

Furthermore, this is not a new discharger and no new information has been presented regarding the classifications and standards. Therefore, the water quality standard-based effluent limitations of this permit are determined to be reasonably related to the economic, environmental, public health and energy impacts to the public and affected persons and are in furtherance of the policies set forth in Sections 25-8-102 and 104. If the permittee disagrees with this finding, pursuant to 61.11(b)(ii) of the Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations, the permittee should submit all pertinent information to the Division during the public notice period.

Susan M. Applegate July 16, 2013

VIII. REFERENCES

- A. Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division Files, for Permit Number CO0026468.
- B. <u>Design Criteria Considered in the Review of Wastewater Treatment Facilities</u>, Policy 96-1, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, April 2007.
- C. <u>Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, Regulation No. 31</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective January 31, 2013.
- D. <u>Classifications and Numeric Standards for San Juan River and Dolores River Basins</u>, Regulation No. 34, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective May 13, 2013.

- E. <u>Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations, Regulation No. 61</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective January 30, 2012.
- F. <u>Regulations for Effluent Limitations, Regulation No. 62</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective July 30, 2012.
- G. <u>Pretreatment Regulations, Regulation No. 63</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective April 01, 2007.
- H. <u>Biosolids Regulation, Regulation No. 64</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective March 30, 2010.
- I. <u>Colorado River Salinity Standards, Regulation No. 39</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective August 30, 1997.
- J. <u>Colorado's Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters and Monitoring and Evaluation List, Regulation No 93, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective March 30, 2012.</u>
- K. <u>Antidegradation Significance Determination for New or Increased Water Quality Impacts, Procedural Guidance</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective December 2001.
- L. <u>Memorandum Re: First Update to (Antidegradation) Guidance Version 1.0,</u> Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective April 23, 2002.
- M. <u>Determination of the Requirement to Include Water Quality Standards-Based Limits in CDPS Permits Based on Reasonable Potential</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective December 2002.
- N. <u>The Colorado Mixing Zone Implementation Guidance</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective April 2002.
- O. <u>Baseline Monitoring Frequency, Sample Type, and Reduced Monitoring Frequency Policy for Domestic and Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facilities</u>, Water Quality Control Division Policy WQP-20, May 1, 2007.
- P. <u>Implementing Narrative Standards in Discharge Permits for the Protection of Irrigated Crops</u>, Water Quality Control Division Policy WQP-24, March 10, 2008.
- Q. <u>Implementing Narrative Standard for Toxicity in Discharge Permits Using Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing.</u> Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division Policy Permits-1, September 30, 2010.
- R. <u>Policy for Conducting Assessments for Implementation of Temperature Standards in Discharge Permits</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, Policy Number WQP-23, effective July 3, 2008.

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, Water Quality Control Division Fact Sheet - Page 11, Permit No. CO0026468

- S. <u>Policy for Permit Compliance Schedules</u>, Colorado Department Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, Policy Number WQP-30, effective December 2, 2010.
- T. <u>Procedural Regulations for Site Applications for Domestic Wastewater Treatment Works, Regulation No. 22</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective September 30, 2009.
- U. <u>Regulation Controlling discharges to Storm Sewers, Regulation No. 65</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective May 30, 2008.
- V. <u>Water and Wastewater Facility Operator Certification Requirements, Regulation No. 100</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective June 30, 2012.

Susan Applegate July 16, 2013

IX. PUBLIC NOTICE COMMENTS